

February 25, 1974

You have to take it in a piece of paper at which it's sent to you or your committee and someone has to endorse it at the responsibility of the candidate. Now, if you want to stop a lot of spending of money, this is the one way you're going to do it because if there's any evil in politics, it's cash money. Cash money that can't be identified. Now, this, I think, is a good bill. The only change it makes, give all you want under the present laws but whatever you give, from whatever source, it has to be given in a paper which can be traceable only if and when some agents of government wants to find out where you got the money. Now, there's a lot of bills around here that do a lot of things but a lot of them do very little. This will do more than anything I can think of in order to stop the flow of loose money and make it more impossible, if that's the right word, for those who have access to money, to get all the money they want. Now, you can see what happened in the Watergate in Washington. They had money, for example, in suitcases, currency, washed out in transactions. It has been admitted that as much as \$250,000 in numerous places. Whenever you've got money laying around loose, you friends, you tempt everybody without any exception. Now, if you really want to stop a lot of this expenditure of public money, you pass this bill and you'll find out that these things will dry up. To a great source, if you have a great deal of difficulty raising money. Anybody. Now, let's say for, example, after this next election, say in the case of Senator Carpenter who is running for Lieutenant Governor. Maybe he's raised a couple hundred thousand dollars. You can imagine that, I presume, and out of that there's about \$25,000 in money. Well, having never been tested as to my honesty, in that case, my honesty might be tested. And, they say, Carpenter, are you honest? I said I'd not know because I've never been subjected to the test to determine whether I am honest or not. Nobody has ever offered me enough money at one time under circumstances which I had to make up my mind, am I honest or not. Now, the reason they don't give me money, is because they're afraid of me. And that's the disadvantage I have. But this will stop this nonsense in Washington, this will stop the nonsense with Senator Curtis and Hruska and all the rest of the Republican candidates. Whatever they get, they're going to get it in paper what has to be endorsed. And if the United States senator or this House want to have a committee to see where we get our money, this is one way we can trace it and the penalty is pretty severe if you don't comply with the intent of the law. And, in this case, I ask for a roll call vote.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, I've got a question of Senator Carpenter.

PRESIDENT: Do you yield, Senator Carpenter?

SENATOR CARPENTER: Yes.

SENATOR BARNETT: I don't know whether it'll make it controversial or not, I'll try not to because I'll probably vote against it. The question I have is is this penalty section for the person that gives the money or the money that receives it?

SENATOR CARPENTER: Both.

SENATOR BARNETT: Both. And the committees as well, but the candidate assumes the responsibility for the reporting because in every case he knows what is going on or he's not qualified to hold any public office. He's stupid.

SENATOR BARNETT: So, as an example then, if the candidate Whelan running for Lieutenant Governor would come over to your